

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,504	GOTOU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

 (1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

 (2) Robert Jackson.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2 15-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The changes to claims 1 and 16 were discussed and agreed upon to add additional clarity to applicant's intended meaning. It was agreed to cancel claim 2 since it's limitations are now within independent claim 1 and therefore claim 2 would be a duplicate of claim 1. It was agreed to cancel non-elected claims 15 and 17-18.